UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/517,007	12/06/2004	Sebastian Koltzenburg	261985US6PCT	8745	
	7590 09/22/200 AK, MCCLELLAND I	EXAMINER			
1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			LEVKOVICH, NATALIA A		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1797			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/22/2008	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Office Action Summary		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/517,00)7	KOLTZENBURG ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		NATALIA	LEVKOVICH	1797				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the period by the Office later than three months after the material part of the provided patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THE ALL STATES AND ALL STATE	HIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tin III expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·			
Status								
1)[\	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16	6 June 2008						
•		his action is n	on-final					
′=	<i>'—</i>			secution as to the	e merits is			
ت ا	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4) 🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-14</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	6) Claim(s) 6-14 is/are rejected.							
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election r	equirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.								
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to		-					
					ER 1 121(d)			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachmen 1) Notic 2) Notic 3) Inforr			4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	(PTO-413) ate				

Application/Control Number: 10/517,007 Page 2

Art Unit: 1797

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's amendments and remarks dated 06/16/2008 have been acknowledged.

2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office Action.

Drawings

3. The drawings remain objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims, as well as any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention. As was pointed out previously, the drawings do not clearly show structural inter-relationships between the elements which are essential for a proper understanding of the invention, such as, for example, feed vessels related to the reactors / modules. Note that the objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. Claims 6-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being unclear for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 1797

Claim 6, as amended, recites one or more metering and feed devices "positionable in proximity" with each reactor ". It is unclear whether or not any positioning means are intended.

Referring to claim 9, the "through-line for the heat transfer medium" lacks antecedent basis. It is also unclear how it is related to the "through-line(s) for liquid reactant mixtures" and "inlet / outlet lines for the heat transfer medium" of claim 6.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. Claims 6-8 and 10-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by Okamoto et al. (US 6673316).

With respect to claims 6-8 and 10-11, Okamoto discloses a parallel reaction system comprising, as shown in Figures 4-6, reaction modules 34, reaction containers 15, reagent introducing needles 45, 23 ['metering and feed devices positionable in proximity of the reaction containers'] and bottles 25 ['feed vessels']. Figures 9, 36-37 also show cap 49, 54 ['flat lid'] including through lines'48a, 49a. Figure 36 shows that the lower end of the through line can project beyond the lower edge of the lid into the interior space of the reactor.

Additionally, Figure 37 discloses inlet / outlet lines 404 a, b for heat transfer medium. The upper and the lower portions 403d and 404 have an increased cross-section.

Referring to claims 12-14, Okamoto teaches containers having capacity of 30 and 100 ml in column 8, line 25 plus.

Application/Control Number: 10/517,007 Page 4

Art Unit: 1797

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - Determining the scope and contents of the prior art. 1.
 - Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 2. 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 8. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Okamoto et al.

Although Okamoto does not specifically disclose the heat medium transfer inlet protruding into the hollow space of the lid, nevertheless, tubes protruding inside containers are very well known for a long time (see, for example, the central vertical tube of Figure 36). It would have been clearly within the ordinary skill of an artisan at the time the invention was made to have employed such configuration for the cooling fluid inlet in the modified device of Okamoto, in order to deliver the cooling fluid closer to a reagent through line, in order to improve the efficiency of the heat exchange process.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 06/16/2008 have been fully considered but they are most in view of the new ground of rejection.

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Natalia Levkovich whose telephone number is Art Unit: 1797

571-272-2462. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 2 p.m.-10 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on 571-272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Jill Warden/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1797